Examiner-Initiated Interview Summar		Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	an/	09/885,375 HE, SHOUSHENG		NG	
Examiner-induced interview Summary		Examiner		Art Unit	
		Jacob Meek		2637	
All Participants:		Status of Applicat	ion:		
(1) <u>Jacob Meek</u> .		(3)			
(2) Steven Smith (Reg.#36,684).		(4)			
Date of Interview: 28 February 2006		Time:			
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description:		nt's representative)			
Part I.					
Rejection(s) discussed:					
Claims discussed:					
Claim 8.					
Prior art documents discussed:					
Part II.					
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE See Continuation Sheet	E GENEF	RAL NATURE OF WH	AT WAS	DISCUSSED:	
Part III.					
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sed directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sed did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief 	ation. The eparate re	examiner will provide ecord of the substance	e a writte e of the i	n summary of the nterview, since	he substance
	•				
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (A	Applicant/	Applicant's Represent	tative Sig	nature – if appr	opriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Claim 8 was discussed regarding concern with apparent single means nature of claim, and possilbe modification of claim to correct single means aspect of claim. Requested that claim 8 be revised to incorporate limitations of preamble into body of claim in order to eliminate single means aspect of claim 8. A revision was submitted via fax on 2/28/06 which was deemed as acceptable for correcting single means claims.

Confrimed acceptability of examiner's amendment using proposed amendment (received fax, 2/28/06) to claim 8 on 3/2/06 with Steven Smith (Reg # 36,684).